

JUL-02-2013 TUE 02:57 PM

FAX NO.

P. 02/03

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : Hon. Douglas E. Arpert  
v. : Mag. No. 11-2525 (DEA)  
DAVID WAX and : ORDER FOR CONTINUANCE  
JUDY WAX :

This matter having come before the Court on the joint application of Paul J. Fishman, United States Attorney for the District of New Jersey (R. Joseph Gribko, Assistant U.S. Attorney, appearing), and defendants David Wax and Judy Wax (Mitchell J. Ansell, Esq. and Steven Secare, Esq., appearing), for an order granting a continuance of the proceedings in the above-captioned matter, and the defendants and their counsel being aware that an Information or Indictment ordinarily must be filed within thirty (30) days of defendant's initial appearance on this charge, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(b); and, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h) (7) (A), the United States and the defendants having requested this continuance so that the parties can negotiate plea agreements and thereby avoid a possible trial; and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this 8th day of July, 2013,

ORDERED that the time from the date this Order is entered, to and including September 12, 2013, shall be excluded in calculating the time within which an Information or Indictment

must be filed under the Speedy Trial Act for the following reasons:

1. Both the United States and the defendants desire time to discuss plea negotiations, which would render any grand jury proceedings and any subsequent trial of this matter unnecessary;
2. Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.



HON. DOUGLAS E. ARPERT  
United States Magistrate Judge



R. Joseph Gribko  
Assistant United States Attorney



Mitchell Ansell, Esq.  
Counsel for Defendant David Wax


Steven Secare, Esq.  
Counsel for defendant Judy Wax

must be filed under the Speedy Trial Act for the following reasons:

1. Both the United States and the defendants desire time to discuss plea negotiations, which would render any grand jury proceedings and any subsequent trial of this matter unnecessary;


2. Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

HON. DOUGLAS W. ARPERT  
United States Magistrate Judge



R. Joseph Gribko  
Assistant United States Attorney

Mitchell Ansell, Esq.  
Counsel for Defendant David Wax



Steven Secare, Esq.  
Counsel for defendant Judy Wax